

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,162	04/22/2004	Hirofumi Dodoro	K06-169665M/TBS	5745	
21254	7590 11/27/2006	5	EXAMINER		
	NTELLECTUAL PR OURTHOUSE ROAD	YEE, DE	YEE, DEBORAH		
SUITE 200	OURTHOUSE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, V	A 22182-3817		1742		

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
0/829,162 DODORO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	 -
Deborah Yee	1742	

	Deborah Yee	1742	٠
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ring replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 16.07(f).	g date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi- ite of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or	ocroppeding number of finally rei	icated alaims	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:	corresponding number of finally rej	ecteu ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / information	(1 102 02 1).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 		n condition for allowar	nce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>.</u>		
13. Other:	. 1	100017	el
•	4	Deborah Yee	~
		Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1742

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claimed Cr range of "at least 5.0% and less than 10%" fails to define patentable novelty over Tanaka '042 and Tanaka '686 steels containing 10 to 22% Cr and 10 to 20% Cr, respectively. Note that although claim 1 recites <10%Cr, there is nothing to show (e.g. comparative test data) that it is critical or that it involves anything more than judicious selection. Note Applicant's specification on page 4 discloses 5 to 15%Cr as permissible and there is no test data to show that < 10% Cr is necessary to improve dampability. It was submitted that lower Cr is critical in order to reduce coarse eutectic carbides which, in turn, adversely affect the acoustic performance of rolling bearings but this concept is already taught by prior art, see Tanaka '686 on lines 8-50 in column 2.